I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project consists of the demolition of two permitted dwellings and associated accessory structures and construction of a four unit condominium building on a 10,000 square foot lot. The proposed building would be approximately 5,783 square feet. Each unit would average between 1,000 s.f. to 1,300 s.f. and each garage would be approximately 400 s.f. The structure would be two stories and approximately 22 feet in height. The majority of the habitable space of each of the units would be on the second floor with two car garages located below. Three of the units will include ground floor bedrooms with full bathrooms. Access to the site will be provided by a driveway along the southern property line. Since the existing access to the project site is provided by Victoria Street, a new curb cut will be necessary. Due to the proximity of the driveway on an adjacent property, one common driveway apron will be constructed that will serve both lots. Grading for the project would be approximately 235 cubic yards of cut and 25 cubic yards of fill. A modification is being requested to allow the garages to be located three feet from the northerly lot line instead of the required six foot setback.

Background

The project site is located adjacent to an undeveloped portion of Victoria Street. Vehicular access to and parking for the project site was provided by this right-of-way. A variance was granted in 1927 to allow a temporary single car garage to be constructed in the right-of-way (ROW) and it was to be removed in 1928. However, the garage was never removed until three years ago when the City began construction on the Old Mission Creek Summer Urban Runoff Facility (SURF), which was placed below grade in the ROW. In 2006, construction of the SURF project was completed and a pocket park was developed that serves as an entrance into Bohnett Park. The landscape plan for the proposed project will incorporate a plant palate that will complement the park landscaping.
II. REQUIRED APPLICATIONS

The discretionary applications required for this project are:

1. A Modification to allow a reduction of the northern interior yard from six feet to three feet (SBMC §28.92.110.A.2);

2. A Tentative Subdivision Map for a one-lot subdivision to create four (4) residential condominium units (SBMC §27.07 and 27.13);

III. RECOMMENDATION

With the approval of the Modification, the proposed project conforms to the City’s Zoning and Building Ordinances and policies of the General Plan. In addition, the size and massing of the project are consistent with the surrounding neighborhood. Therefore, Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the project, making the findings outlined in Section VII of this report, and subject to the conditions of approval in Exhibit A.

APPLICATION DEEMED COMPLETE: October 31, 2007
DATE ACTION REQUIRED PER MAP ACT: January 19, 2008
IV. SITE INFORMATION AND PROJECT STATISTICS

A. SITE INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant:</th>
<th>Kirk Gradin</th>
<th>Property Owner:</th>
<th>Casas Del Parque, LLC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parcel Number:</td>
<td>039-151-001</td>
<td>Lot Area:</td>
<td>0.23 acre (10,048 s.f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Plan:</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Zoning:</td>
<td>R-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Use:</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Topography:</td>
<td>10% slope (development area approximately 1% - portion of the lot includes a creek bank)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjacent Land Uses:
- North – Public Park
- South - Residential
- East – Public Park
- West - Residential

B. PROJECT STATISTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Living Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 detached residences (1,055 &amp; 374 s.f.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit #</td>
<td># of Bedrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit B</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit C</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit D</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Garage | 2 car per unit – 441 s.f. - 442 s.f. |

Accessory | 2 structures | 1 garbage/recycling area to serve the residences |

V. ZONING ORDINANCE CONSISTENCY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Requirement/ Allowance</th>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setbacks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Front</td>
<td>10'</td>
<td>25'</td>
<td>10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Interior</td>
<td>6'</td>
<td>7' - North</td>
<td>3' - 1st Floor north</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1' - South</td>
<td>21' - south</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Rear</td>
<td>6' – 1st Story</td>
<td>45'</td>
<td>48'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 – 2nd Story</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Height</td>
<td>3 stories @ 45' max</td>
<td>1 story @ 12'</td>
<td>2 stories @ 23' max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>2 bedroom + - 2 spaces</td>
<td>1 covered space-offsite</td>
<td>2 spaces/unit - covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Area Required for Each Unit (Variable Density)</td>
<td>2 bedroom unit: 1 unit/320 s.f.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3 - 2 bedroom – 6,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 bedroom unit + 1 unit/2,800 s.f.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1 - 3 bedroom – 2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10% Open Space</td>
<td>1,005 s.f.</td>
<td>Req'd Lot Area - 9,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,521 s.f.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The proposed project would meet the requirements of the R-3 Zone, with the exception of the Modification on the north property line, which would allow a reduction of the interior yard from six feet to three feet. With the incorporation of the conditions of approval, the project will also be consistent with the requirements of Chapter 27.13, Condominium Residential Development.

VI. ISSUES

A. DESIGN REVIEW

This project was reviewed by the Architectural Board of Review (ABR) on two separate occasions (meeting minutes are attached as Exhibit D). At the first meeting on August 7, 2006, the Board supported the Modification for the reduced setback for the ground floor. Between the first and second meeting, the applicant revised the design to address staff’s comments related parking accessibility. On June 26, 2007, the ABR commented on the revised drawings and provided some direction to the applicant on the elevations.

In addition to the ABR comments, staff expressed concern about the width of the parapet on the second floor adjacent to the patio. The main concern is the parapet, which is located within the required interior yard setback, is fairly wide and flat and could be used as a counter surface. Therefore, we have included language in the design review condition that the applicant continues to work with the ABR to design the parapet as an architectural element, rather than a usable area associated with the patio.

B. COMPLIANCE WITH THE GENERAL PLAN

The proposed project is located within the Westside neighborhood. San Andres Street generally divides the single family and duplex dwellings on the westerly side from the denser, multi-family housing on the easterly side of the street. The proposed project would be located on the easterly side of San Andres Street. The proposed development would occupy a lot that was developed for many years with two dwellings. Since the portion of site where the proposed project would be located is developed and fairly level, there would be minimal grading necessary to develop the site. The proposed development would be consistent with the
residential density of the General Plan, as well as the neighborhood. The surrounding lots are developed with a mix of multifamily development and single family dwellings. In some cases, there are several residential units on one legal parcel. Parking for the project would be provided on site with two covered spaces per unit, consistent with the Land Use Element direction of bringing new development into compliance with the parking requirements.

The Housing Element calls for providing a variety of housing types in the City. The sizes of these units are well under the 85% of the variable density/lot area requirement that the Commission has been using as a tool in considering new condominiums as noted in the Project Statistics on page 3 of this report.

The proposed project would include the required outdoor private open space, consistent with the Municipal Code and is also adjacent to Bohnett Park. The project is located within three blocks of the commercial area of the Westside and a pedestrian bridge that spans the 101 Freeway is located two blocks to the east, providing pedestrian or bicycle access to downtown Santa Barbara. Therefore, the project can be found consistent with the General Plan.

C. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The project can be found exempt based upon California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15303, New Construction of Small Structures, and Section 15315, Minor Land Divisions. The following environmental issue areas were considered in determining if the project could be exempt:

**Water Resources** - A portion of the site includes the bank of Old Mission Creek and, as proposed, the project would not cause any impacts to the creek. When Mission Creek was realigned to the east side of the 101 Freeway, this remaining segment of the creek facilitates drainage from the Westside neighborhoods. This creek is not a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) mapped creek. However, due to the undersized culvert that drains Old Mission Creek under the 101 Freeway, the Santa Barbara County Flood Control Division has established an inundation elevation of 67 feet to allow any back up of the creek during a storm event. The likelihood of the project site being inundated is low. The distance between the 67 foot elevation on either side of the creek adjacent to the project site is approximately 250 feet and the elevation of the site is 75 feet.

The project is consistent with Section 28.87.250 of the Municipal Code, Development Along Creeks, which states that all development shall be setback twenty-five feet from the top-of-bank of Mission Creek. Due to the unusual topography of the site, an engineering geologist established the top of bank (Attachment E). In this area of Old Mission Creek, it widens to a broad plain with a small, hard bank channel providing the majority of the drainage. Between this channel and the project site are two more retaining walls, each located at successively higher elevations. Thus, establishing the top of bank required a professional analysis that was accepted by the Chief Building Official. Finally, the landscaping plan includes a plant palate that would be compatible with riparian habitat.
Historic Resources - Due to the poor condition of the existing residences, they are not considered historically significant. The City's staff historian visited the site and determined that there were a number of changes to the structures, including siding and other material changes that altered the historic value of the structures. Therefore, no further study was required.

Visual Impacts – The four unit residential development would not be out of character with the neighborhood. The project vicinity is mixed with development of craftsman houses, duplexes and apartment buildings. The project is being reviewed by the Architectural Board of Review (ABR) and will ensure that the development fits within the context of the neighborhood. Also, due to the proximity of the park with a creek on two sides, the project will include a plant palate that will complement the park and include riparian plants. The landscape plan will incorporate input from the Parks and Recreation Department, as well as the ABR.

VII. FINDINGS

The Planning Commission finds the following:

A. Modification

The Planning Commission may permit a modification or waiver of the side yard setback where, the modification will not be inconsistent with the purposes and intent of this Title. The request for the Modification to the side yard setback for the garage would not adversely impact the adjacent property since it is a public park. The story above the garage would meet the required six foot setback. Finally, given the 50 foot wide lot, the reduction of the setback will allow more maneuvering for cars entering and exiting the garages.

B. The Tentative Map (SBMC §27.07.100)

The Tentative Subdivision Map is consistent with the General Plan and the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Santa Barbara. The site is physically suitable for the proposed development, the project is consistent with the variable density provisions of the Municipal Code and the General Plan, and the proposed use is consistent with the vision for this neighborhood of the General Plan. The design of the project will not cause substantial environmental damage, and associated improvements will not cause serious public health problems.

C. New Condominium Development (SBMC §27.13.080)

1. There is compliance with all provisions of the City’s Condominium Ordinance, as conditioned.

2. The project complies with density requirements. Each unit includes laundry facilities, separate utility metering, adequate unit size and storage space, and the required private outdoor living space.

3. The proposed development is consistent with the General Plan of the City of Santa Barbara.
4. The project can be found consistent with policies of the City’s General Plan including the Housing Element, Conservation Element, and Land Use Element. The project will provide infill residential development that is compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.

5. The proposed development is consistent with the principles of sound community planning and will not have an adverse impact upon the neighborhood's aesthetics, parks, streets, traffic, parking and other community facilities and resources.

6. The project is an infill residential project proposed in an area where residential development is a permitted use. The project is adequately served by public streets, will provide adequate parking to meet the demands of the project and will not result in traffic impacts. The design has been reviewed by the City’s design review board, which found the architecture and site design appropriate.

Exhibits:

A. Conditions of Approval
B. Site Plan
C. Applicant's letter, dated December 27, 2007
D. ABR Minutes dated August 7, 2006 & June 25, 2007
PLANNING COMMISSION CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1236 SAN ANDRES STREET
MODIFICATION, TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP
JANUARY 10, 2008

In consideration of the project approval granted by the Planning Commission and for the benefit of the owners and occupants of the Real Property, the owners and occupants of adjacent real property and the public generally, the following terms and conditions are imposed on the use, possession, and enjoyment of the Real Property:

A. **Recorded Agreement.** Prior to the issuance of any Public Works permit or Building permit for the project on the Real Property, the Owner shall execute an Agreement Relating to Subdivision Map Conditions Imposed on Real Property, a written instrument, which shall be reviewed as to form and content by the City Attorney, Community Development Director and Public Works Director, recorded in the Office of the County Recorder, and shall include the following:

1. **Uninterrupted Water Flow.** The Owner shall provide for the uninterrupted flow of water through the Real Property including, but not limited to, swales, natural watercourses, conduits and any access road, as appropriate.

2. **Recreational Vehicle Storage Prohibition.** No recreational vehicles, boats, or trailers shall be stored on the Real Property.

3. **Landscape Plan Compliance.** The Owner shall comply with the Landscape Plan approved by the Architectural Board of Review (ABR). Such plan shall not be modified unless prior written approval is obtained from the ABR. The landscaping on the Real Property shall be provided and maintained in accordance with said landscape plan. If said landscaping is removed for any reason without approval by the ABR, the owner is responsible for its immediate replacement.

4. **Storm Water Pollution Control and Drainage Systems Maintenance.** Owner shall maintain the drainage system and storm water pollution control devices intended to intercept siltation and other potential pollutants (including, but not limited to, hydrocarbons, fecal bacteria, herbicides, fertilizers, etc.) in a functioning state (and in accordance with the Operations and Maintenance Procedure Plan approved by the Building Official). Should any of the project’s surface or subsurface drainage structures or storm water pollution control methods fail to capture, infiltrate, and/or treat, or result in increased erosion, the Owner shall be responsible for any necessary repairs to the system and restoration of the eroded area. Should repairs or restoration become necessary, prior to the commencement of such repair or restoration work, the applicant shall submit a repair and restoration plan to the Community Development Director to determine if an amendment or a new Building Permit is required to authorize such work. The Owner is responsible for the adequacy of any project-related drainage facilities and for the continued maintenance thereof in a manner that will preclude any hazard to life, health, or damage to the Real Property or any adjoining property.

EXHIBIT A
5. **Development Rights Restrictions.** The Owner shall not make any use of the restricted portion of the Real Property as designated on the approved Tentative Subdivision Map in order that those portions of the Real Property remain in their natural state. Specifically the area restricted is the area that includes all of the creek banks. These restrictions include, but are not limited to, the right to develop the restricted portions with any grading, irrigation, buildings, structures, ornamental landscaping, or utility service lines. The restricted areas shall be shown on the Final Map. The Owner shall continue to be responsible for (i) maintenance of the restricted area, and (ii) compliance with orders of the Fire Department. Any brush clearance shall be performed without the use of earth moving equipment.

6. **Approved Development.** The development of the Real Property approved by the Planning Commission on January 10, 2008 is limited to the following project description:

- Demolition of two permitted dwellings and associated accessory structures and construction of a four unit condominium building on a 10,000 square foot lot. The proposed building would be approximately 5,783 square feet. Each unit would average between 1,000 s.f. to 1,300 s.f. and each garage would be approximately 400 s.f. The structure would be two stories and approximately 22 feet in height. The majority of the habitable space of each of the units would be on the second floor with two car garages located below. Three of the units will include ground floor bedrooms with full bathrooms. Access to the site will be provided by a driveway, with a new curb cut, along the southern property line. One common driveway apron will be constructed that will serve an adjacent lot to the south (APN 039-151-001). Grading for the project would be approximately 235 cubic yards of cut and 25 cubic yards of fill. A modification is being requested to allow the garages to be located three feet from the northerly lot line instead of the required six foot setback.

And the improvements shown on the Tentative Subdivision Map signed by the chairman of the Planning Commission on said date and on file at the City of Santa Barbara.

7. **Required Private Covenants.** The Owners shall record in the official records of Santa Barbara County either private covenants, a reciprocal easement agreement, or a similar agreement which, among other things, shall provide for all of the following:

a. **Common Area Maintenance.** An express method for the appropriate and regular maintenance of the common areas, common access ways, common utilities and other similar shared or common facilities or improvements of the development, which methodology shall also provide for an appropriate cost-sharing of such regular maintenance among the various owners of the condominium units.
b. **Garages Available for Parking.** A covenant that includes a requirement that all garages be kept open and available for the parking of vehicles owned by the residents of the property in the manner for which the garages were designed and permitted.

c. **Landscape Maintenance.** A covenant that provides that the landscaping shown on the approved Landscaping Plan shall be maintained and preserved at all times in accordance with the Plan.

d. **Trash and Recycling.** Trash holding areas shall include recycling containers with at least equal capacity as the trash containers, and trash/recycling areas shall be easily accessed by the consumer and the trash hauler. Green waste shall either have containers adequate for the landscaping or be hauled off site by the landscaping maintenance company. If no green waste containers are provided for common interest developments, include an item in the CC&Rs stating that the green waste will be hauled off site.

e. **Covenant Enforcement.** A covenant that permits each owner to contractually enforce the terms of the private covenants, reciprocal easement agreement, or similar agreement required by this condition.

8. **Pesticide or Fertilizer Usage Near Creeks.** The use of pesticides or fertilizer shall be prohibited within the setback area, which drains directly into Old Mission Creek.

B. **Public Works Submittal Prior to Parcel Map Approval.** The Owner shall submit the following, or evidence of completion of the following, to the Public Works Department for review and approval, prior to processing the approval of the Parcel Map and prior to the issuance of any permits for the project:

1. **Parcel Map.** The Owner shall submit to the Public Works Department for approval, a Parcel Map prepared by a licensed land surveyor or registered Civil Engineer. The Parcel Map shall conform to the requirements of the City Survey Control Ordinance.

3. **Water Rights Assignment Agreement.** The Owner shall assign to the City of Santa Barbara the exclusive right to extract ground water from under the Real Property in an Agreement Assigning Water Extraction Rights. Engineering Division Staff will prepare said agreement for the Owner’s signature.

4. **Required Private Covenants.** The Owner shall submit a copy of the recorded private covenants, reciprocal easement agreement, or similar private agreements required for the project. If the private covenants required pursuant to Section A.** above have not yet been approved by the Department of Real Estate, a draft of such covenants shall be submitted.

5. **Drainage Calculations.** The Owner shall submit drainage calculations prepared by a registered civil engineer or licensed architect demonstrating that the new
development will not increase runoff amounts above existing conditions for a 25-year storm event. Any increase in runoff shall be retained on-site.

6. **Drainage and Water Quality.** Project drainage shall be designed, installed, and maintained such that stormwater runoff from the first inch of rain from any storm event shall be retained and treated onsite in accordance with the City’s NPDES Storm Water Management Permit. Runoff should be directed into a passive water treatment method such as a bioswale, landscape feature (planter beds and/or lawns), infiltration trench, etc. Project plans for grading, drainage, stormwater treatment methods, and project development, shall be subject to review and approval by City Building Division and Public Works Department. Sufficient engineered design and adequate measures shall be employed to ensure that no significant construction-related or long-term effects from increased runoff, erosion and sedimentation, urban water pollutants, or groundwater pollutants would result from the project. The Owner shall maintain the drainage system and storm water pollution control methods in a functioning state.

7. **San Andres Public Improvements.** The Owner shall submit building plans for construction of improvements along the property frontage on San Andres Street. As determined by the Public Works Department, the improvements shall include new and/or remove and replace to City standards, the following: sidewalk, driveway apron modified to meet Title 24 requirements including adjacent property driveway apron to the south [039-151-001], crack seal to the centerline of the street along entire subject property frontage and slurry seal a minimum of 20 feet beyond the limits of all trenching, underground service utilities (SBMC§22.38.125 and §27.08.025), connection to City water and sewer mains, public drainage improvements with supporting drainage calculations for installation of curb drain outlets and on-site detention, erosion protection, supply and install one residential standard street light across the street in front of subject property, style to be determined by the Public Works Department and the appropriate design review board, coordinate with City staff to retire light standard on existing utility pole across the street, preserve and/or reset survey monuments and contractor stamps, supply and install (to be determined) one new designated street tree and tree grates per approval of the City Arborist and provide adequate positive drainage from site. Any work in the public right-of-way requires a Public Works Permit.

C. **Design Review.** The following items are subject to the review and approval of the Architectural Board of Review (ABR). ABR shall not grant preliminary approval of the project until the following conditions have been satisfied.

1. **Parapet Walls.** Due to the location of the parapet walls adjacent to the second floor decks being within the required interior yard setback, they shall be designed in a manner that there will be no usable flat surface.

2. **Compliance with Chapter 27.13, Residential Condominium Development.** The applicant shall demonstrate compliance with the provision of this chapter,
including, but not limited to 300 cubic feet of storage per unit, washer and dryers for each unit and other provisions stated in this chapter.

3. **Appropriate Plants within Old Mission Creek.** Special attention shall be paid to the appropriateness of the proposed plant material within the creek bank area. All such plantings shall be riparian or riparian woodland consisting of plants native to the South Coast of Santa Barbara County. Selection and placement shall be overseen by a qualified biologist.

4. **Useable Common Open Space.** Adequate usable common open space shall be provided in a location accessible by all units within the development.

5. **Pedestrian Pathway.** A separate pedestrian pathway shall be provided along the driveway to the units at the rear of the property from the sidewalk using a different paving or walkway material.

6. **Minimize Visual Effect of Paving.** Textured or colored pavement shall be used in paved areas of the project to minimize the visual effect of the expanse of paving, create a pedestrian environment, and provide access for all users.

7. **Screened Check Valve/Backflow.** The check valve or anti-backflow devices for fire sprinkler and/or irrigation systems shall be provided in a location screened from public view or included in the exterior wall of the building.

D. **Public Works Requirements Prior to Building Permit Issuance.** The Owner shall submit the following, or evidence of completion of the following to the Public Works Department for review and approval, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit for the project.

1. **Recordation of Map and Agreements.** After City Council approval, the Owner shall provide evidence of recordation to the Public Works Department.

2. **Approved Public Improvement Plans and Concurrent Issuance of Public Works Permit.** Upon acceptance of the approved public improvement plans, a Public Works permit shall be issued concurrently with a Building permit.

E. **Community Development Requirements Prior to Building or Public Works Permit Application/Issuance.** The following shall be finalized prior to, and/or submitted with, the application for any Building or Public Works permit:

1. **Neighborhood Notification Prior to Construction.** At least twenty (20) days prior to commencement of construction, the contractor shall provide written notice to all property owners, businesses, and residents within 300 feet of the project area. The notice shall contain a description of the project, the construction schedule, including days and hours of construction, the name and phone number of the Contractor(s), site rules and Conditions of Approval pertaining to construction activities and any additional information that will assist the Building Inspectors, Police Officers and the public in addressing problems that may arise during construction. The language of the notice and the mailing list shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Division prior to being distributed. An affidavit signed
by the person(s) who compiled the mailing list shall be submitted to the Planning Division.

2. **Contractor and Subcontractor Notification.** The Owner shall notify in writing all contractors and subcontractors of the site rules, restrictions, and Conditions of Approval. Submit a copy of the notice to the Planning Division.

3. **Traffic Control Plan.** A traffic control plan shall be submitted, as specified in the City of Santa Barbara Traffic Control Guidelines. Traffic Control Plans are subject to approval by the Transportation Manager.

4. **Green Building Techniques Required.** Owner shall design the project to meet Santa Barbara Built Green Two-Star Standards and strive to meet the Three-Star Standards.

F. **Building Permit Plan Requirements.** The following requirements/notes shall be incorporated into the construction plans submitted to the Building and Safety Division for Building permits.

1. **Design Review Requirements.** Plans shall show all design, landscape and tree protection elements, as approved by the Architectural Board of Review, outlined in Section C above.

2. **Post-Construction Erosion Control and Water Quality Plan.** Provide an engineered drainage plan that addresses the existing drainage patterns and leads towards improvement of the quality and rate of water run-off conditions from the site by capturing, infiltrating, and/or treating drainage and preventing erosion. The Owner shall passive water quality methods, such as bioswales, catch basins, or storm drain on the Real Property, or other measures specified in the Erosion Control Plan, to intercept all sediment and other potential pollutants (including, but not limited to, hydrocarbons, fecal bacteria, herbicides, fertilizers, etc.) from the parking lot areas and other improved, hard-surfaced areas prior to discharge into the public storm drain system, including any creeks. All proposed methods shall be reviewed and approved by the Public Works Department and the Building and Safety Division. Maintenance of these facilities shall be provided by the Owner, as outlined in Condition A-4, above, which shall include the regular sweeping and/or vacuuming of parking areas and drainage and storm water methods maintenance program.

6. **Trash Enclosure Provision.** A trash enclosure with adequate area for recycling containers (an area that allows for a minimum of 50 percent of the total capacity for recycling containers) shall be provided on the Real Property and screened from view from surrounding properties and the street.

7. **Driveway Improvements.** The proposed driveway shall be constructed to the standards provided in the Subdivision Design and Improvement Standards and as approved by the Public Works Director.
8. **Utilities.** Provide individual water, electricity, and gas meters, and sewer lateral for each residential unit. Service lines for each unit shall be separate until a point five feet (5') outside the building.

9. **Conditions on Plans/Signatures.** The final Planning Commission Resolution shall be provided on a full size drawing sheet as part of the drawing sets. Each condition shall have a sheet and/or note reference to verify condition compliance. If the condition relates to a document submittal, indicate the status of the submittal (e.g., Final Map submitted to Public Works Department for review). A statement shall also be placed on the above sheet as follows: The undersigned have read and understand the above conditions, and agree to abide by any and all conditions which is their usual and customary responsibility to perform, and which are within their authority to perform.

Signed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Owner</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>License No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architect</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>License No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engineer</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>License No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

G. **Construction Implementation Requirements.** All of these construction requirements shall be carried out in the field by the Owner and/or Contractor for the duration of the project construction. (Community Development Department staff shall review the plans and specifications to assure that they are incorporated into the bid documents, such that potential contractors will be aware of the following requirements prior to submitting a bid for the contract.)

1. **Demolition/Construction Materials Recycling.** Recycling and/or reuse of demolition/construction materials shall be carried out to the extent feasible, and containers shall be provided on site for that purpose, in order to minimize construction-generated waste conveyed to the landfill. Indicate on the plans the location of a container of sufficient size to handle the materials, subject to review and approval by the City Solid Waste Specialist, for collection of demolition/construction materials. A minimum of 90% of demolition and construction materials shall be recycled or reused. Evidence shall be submitted at each inspection to show that recycling and/or reuse goals are being met.

2. **Sandstone Curb Recycling.** Any existing sandstone curb in the public right-of-way that is removed and not reused shall be salvaged and sent to the City Corporation Annex Yard.
3. **Construction-Related Truck Trips.** Construction-related truck trips shall not be scheduled during peak hours (7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.). The purpose of this condition is to help reduce truck traffic on adjacent streets and roadways.

4. **Construction Related Traffic Routes.** The route of construction-related traffic shall be established to minimize trips through surrounding residential neighborhoods, subject to approval by the Public Works Director.

5. **Haul Routes.** The haul route(s) for all construction-related trucks, three tons or more, entering or exiting the site, shall be approved by the Public Works Director.

6. **Traffic Control Plan.** All elements of the approved Traffic Control Plan shall be carried out by the Contractor.

7. **Construction Hours.** Construction (including preparation for construction work) is prohibited Monday through Friday before 7:00 a.m. and after 5:00 p.m., and all day on Saturdays, Sundays and holidays observed by the City of Santa Barbara, as shown below:

   New Year’s Day                  January 1st*
   Martin Luther King’s Birthday  3rd Monday in January
   Presidents’ Day                3rd Monday in February
   Memorial Day                   Last Monday in May
   Independence Day               July 4th*
   Labor Day                      1st Monday in September
   Thanksgiving Day               4th Thursday in November
   Following Thanksgiving Day     Friday following Thanksgiving Day
   Christmas Day                  December 25th*

   *When a holiday falls on a Saturday or Sunday, the preceding Friday or following Monday, respectively, shall be observed as a legal holiday.

When, based on required construction type or other appropriate reasons, it is necessary to do work outside the allowed construction hours, contractor shall contact the Chief of Building and Safety to request a waiver from the above construction hours, using the procedure outlined in Santa Barbara Municipal Code §9.16.015 Construction Work at Night. Contractor shall notify all residents within 300 feet of the parcel of intent to carry out night construction a minimum of 48 hours prior to said construction. Said notification shall include what the work includes, the reason for the work, the duration of the proposed work and a contact number.

8. **Construction Parking/Storage/Staging.** Construction parking and storage shall be provided as follows:

   a. During construction, free parking spaces for construction workers and construction shall be provided on-site or off-site in a location subject to the approval of the Public Works Director. Construction workers are prohibited
from parking within the public right-of-way, except as outlined in subparagraph b. below.

b. Parking in the public right of way is permitted as posted by Municipal Code, as reasonably allowed for in the 2006 Greenbook (or latest reference), and with a Public Works permit in restricted parking zones. No more than three (3) individual parking permits without extensions may be issued for the life of the project.

c. Storage or staging of construction materials and equipment within the public right-of-way shall not be permitted, unless approved by the Transportation Manager.

9. Water Sprinkling During Grading. During site grading and transportation of fill materials, regular water sprinkling shall occur on-site, using reclaimed water whenever the Public Works Director determines that it is reasonably available. During clearing, grading, earth moving or excavation, sufficient quantities of water, through use of either water trucks or sprinkler systems, shall be applied on-site to prevent dust from leaving the site. Each day, after construction activities cease, the entire area of disturbed soil shall be sufficiently moistened to create a crust.

Throughout construction, water trucks or sprinkler systems shall also be used to keep all areas of vehicle movement on-site damp enough to prevent dust raised from leaving the site. At a minimum, this will include wetting down such areas in the late morning and after work is completed for the day. Increased watering frequency will be required whenever the wind speed exceeds 15 mph.

10. Expeditious Paving. All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc., shall be paved as soon as possible. Additionally, building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used, as directed by the Building Inspector.

11. Gravel Pads. Gravel pads shall be installed at all access points to the project site to prevent tracking of mud on to public roads.

12. Street Sweeping. The property frontage and adjacent property frontages, and parking and staging areas at the construction site shall be swept daily to decrease sediment transport to the public storm drain system and dust.

13. Construction Best Management Practices (BMPs). Construction activities shall address water quality through the use of BMPs, as approved by the Building and Safety Division.

14. Construction Contact Sign. Immediately after Building permit issuance, signage shall be posted at the points of entry to the site that list the contractor(s) name and telephone number(s), work hours, site rules, and construction-related conditions, to assist Building Inspectors and Police Officers in the enforcement of the conditions of approval. The font size shall be a minimum of 0.5 inches in height.

Updated on 1/2/2008
15. **Construction Equipment Maintenance.** All construction equipment, including trucks, shall be professionally maintained and fitted with standard manufacturers’ muffler and silencing devices.

16. **Graffiti Abatement Required.** Owner and Contractor shall be responsible for removal of all graffiti as quickly as possible. Graffiti not removed within 24 hours of notice by the Building and Safety Division may result in a Stop Work order being issued, or may be removed by the City, at the Owner’s expense, as provided in SBMC Chapter 9.66.

17. **Unanticipated Archaeological Resources Contractor Notification.** Prior to the start of any vegetation or paving removal, demolition, trenching or grading, contractors and construction personnel shall be alerted to the possibility of uncovering unanticipated subsurface archaeological features or artifacts associated with past human occupation of the parcel. If such archaeological resources are encountered or suspected, work shall be halted immediately, the City Environmental Analyst shall be notified and the applicant shall retain an archaeologist from the most current City Qualified Archaeologists List. The latter shall be employed to assess the nature, extent and significance of any discoveries and to develop appropriate management recommendations for archaeological resource treatment, which may include, but are not limited to, redirection of grading and/or excavation activities, consultation and/or monitoring with a Barbareño Chumash representative from the most current City qualified Barbareño Chumash Site Monitors List, etc.

If the discovery consists of possible human remains, the Santa Barbara County Coroner shall be contacted immediately. If the Coroner determines that the remains are Native American, the Coroner shall contact the California Native American Heritage Commission. A Barbareño Chumash representative from the most current City Qualified Barbareño Chumash Site Monitors List shall be retained to monitor all further subsurface disturbance in the area of the find. Work in the area may only proceed after the Environmental Analyst grants authorization.

If the discovery consists of possible prehistoric or Native American artifacts or materials, a Barbareño Chumash representative from the most current City Qualified Barbareño Chumash Site Monitors List shall be retained to monitor all further subsurface disturbance in the area of the find. Work in the area may only proceed after the Environmental Analyst grants authorization.

**H. Prior to Certificate of Occupancy.** Prior to issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy, the Owner of the Real Property shall complete the following:

1. **Repair Damaged Public Improvements.** Repair any damaged public improvements (curbs, gutters, sidewalks, roadways, etc.) caused by construction, subject to the review and approval of the Public Works Department per SBMC §22.60.090. Where tree roots are the cause of the damage, the roots shall be pruned under the direction of a qualified arborist.
2. **Complete Public Improvements.** Public improvements, as shown in the building plans, including utility service undergrounding and installation of street trees.

3. **Evidence of Private CC&Rs Recordation.** Evidence shall be provided that the private CC&Rs required in Section A have been recorded.

I. **Litigation Indemnification Agreement.** In the event the Planning Commission approval of the Project is appealed to the City Council, Applicant/Owner hereby agrees to defend the City, its officers, employees, agents, consultants and independent contractors ("City’s Agents") from any third party legal challenge to the City Council’s denial of the appeal and approval of the Project, including, but not limited to, challenges filed pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (collectively "Claims"). Applicant/Owner further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the City and the City’s Agents from any award of attorney fees or court costs made in connection with any Claim.

Applicant/Owner shall execute a written agreement, in a form approved by the City Attorney, evidencing the foregoing commitments of defense and indemnification within thirty (30) days of the City Council denial of the appeal and approval of the Project. These commitments of defense and indemnification are material conditions of the approval of the Project. If Applicant/Owner fails to execute the required defense and indemnification agreement within the time allotted, the Project approval shall become null and void absent subsequent acceptance of the agreement by the City, which acceptance shall be within the City’s sole and absolute discretion. Nothing contained in this condition shall prevent the City or the City’s Agents from independently defending any Claim. If the City or the City’s Agents decide to independently defend a Claim, the City and the City’s Agents shall bear their own attorney fees, expenses, and costs of that independent defense.

**NOTICE OF TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP (INCLUDING NEW CONDOMINIUMS AND CONDOMINIUM CONVERSIONS) TIME LIMITS:**

The Planning Commissioner's action approving the Tentative Map shall expire two (2) years from the date of approval. The subdivider may request an extension of this time period in accordance with Santa Barbara Municipal Code §27.07.110.
City of Santa Barbara 1/2/2008
Planning and Development
130 Garden Street
SB, CA 93101

Re: Casas del Parque
1236 San Andres
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
A.P.N.: 039-151-001
Zone: R-3
Owner: Casas del Parque, LLC
622 E. Canon Perdido Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Dear Planning Commissioners--

We are seeking Planning Commission approval for four (4) new condos at 1236 San Andres. Each of the units (labeled “A”, “B”, “C” and “D”) is two-story with a two-car garage. Three of the condos are 2 bedroom, two bath and one of the condos (labeled “A”) has three bedrooms and two baths. The three-bedroom unit is 1300 square feet while the two bedroom units are approximately 1,000 square feet each. All have garages of around 400 square feet. Units A, B and C have private outdoor living areas at the second floor while Unit “D” has its private outdoor living space on the ground floor. All of the condos are designed as “entry level” housing.

Project History

Drawings for the proposed development were first submitted for conceptual review to ABR in June of 2006. A preliminary zoning plan-check was done in July of 2006. The first review by the Architectural Board occurred on August 7, 2006 and was very favorable. At this time two modifications were being requested: a) for a 3’ encroachment into the 6’ sideyard for garage purposes and b) a 2’ encroachment at the second floor for private outdoor living areas (decks). The Board gave us positive comments on the architecture, the modifications requested and offered clearance to begin PRT and DART submittals. Plans were submitted for PRT during the following week. In November of 2006 the ABR also reviewed a preliminary Landscape Plan and offered several comments.

The PRT comments were extensive and included a request for a Sound Study, an Archeological Study, a Geologic Report and a Creek Restoration Report for areas of the site within the Old Mission Creek habitat. It also indicated that the Parks Department was not in favor of the modifications. In addition, the Transportation department required that we enlarge the garage doors to 18’. Several months were needed to complete these reports, address the concerns and look at ways to reduce or eliminate the modifications we were
requesting. A revised plan with an enlargement of the garage doors was reviewed by the Transportation Department in March of 2007, but Mr. Steve Foley determined that the door widening was not sufficient. Further changes to accommodate his new method of utilizing the turning radius templates required extensive revisions to our footprint, floor plan and massing. Because of these changes, fenestration and stylistic developments were also in order. A revised design was submitted for ABR review in June of 2007. These revised plans moved the first floor setback encroachments 6” further away from the northerly property line and completely eliminated the need for modifications at the second floor. At this second ABR review of the architecture, the Board still felt the mass, bulk and scale were acceptable, requested some detail changes to the architectural style, but gave us clearance to proceed with the Planning Commission review process.

The Existing Site

The existing site is 10,000 square feet and there are 3 existing structures on the site. Two are legal dwellings. The residence nearest the street is 1,055 square feet while the one behind it is 374 square feet. An accessory structure at the rear is 207 square feet. All three structures will be removed. Along the northerly border of the site is an existing driveway and one-car garage that has historically served the site, but which is located on City property. This will also be removed. Jake Jakobus has confirmed that no historic structures report is required for the demolition of the existing homes. The site also contains a series of stone retaining walls at the rear (easterly) that will not be disturbed. Three existing trees are being removed: a 6” orange tree, an 8” acacia and an old, diseased banyan tree. A large existing magnolia in the city parkway, an oak on the east slope and a giant sycamore at the rear of the lot will remain.

Bohnett Park and Adjacent Developments

Except for Bohnett Park and the commercially zoned areas beginning at the 1300 block of San Andres, the entire two-block wide area between San Andres and Highway 101 (from Mission to Haley Street) is an R-3 zone. (See Site Map Attachment as part of our photo study). On the north side of our project an abandoned portion of W. Victoria Street is slated to become part of Bohnett Park. The three lots to the north of Bohnett Park are all multi-family, condominium developments. The first of the three (at 1310 San Andres) is a full two-stories tall right up to the 10’ front yard setback and has cantilevers over the front-yard setback line (See photo #30, A). Portions of this condominium development are also three stories tall (See photo #30, B). The lot at 1318 San Andres (two lots north of Bohnett Park) is just finishing construction and is a five-unit condominium on a slightly larger lot (Photo #29). It also has portions that are three stories tall.

Bohnett Park is currently undergoing a complete transformation. The City of Santa Barbara Creeks Department has recently installed walkways, fencing and new creek-habitat restoration landscaping along Old Mission Creek. They have also installed a belowground water purification unit at an existing County of Santa Barbara storm drain outlet that occurs within the park boundaries. The Parks department (in conjunction with Van Atta and Associates) is also currently developing the final plans for upper park area improvements that includes the removal of all a.c. paving occurring at the “abandoned” Victoria Street termination, the extension of the sidewalk and parkway for the entire length of the park and extensive new hardscape and landscaping features. Portions of these proposed Bohnett Park improvements have been copied from the ABR approved plans for Bohnett Park and are included on our site plan.

On the south side of our project is a single-family residence. This neighboring lot also “dog-legs” to embrace 1236 on the east side as well, providing an additional 25’ privately owned buffer between the project and the Old Mission Creek area. The remainder
of this 1200 block of San Andres is a mixture of one and two-story single-family homes, duplexes and apartments. Halfway up (north) the 1300 block of San Andres begins the C-2 zoning and existing developments include both commercial and mixed-use developments.

The Setback from Old Mission Creek

The centerline of Old Mission Creek is located approximately 60' to the east of the rear (easterly) property line (see Site Plan). This creek is the remainder of the west-side run-off left over after Mission Creek was diverted to the east side of the freeway during the construction of Highway 101. As noted, there is a series of stone retaining walls along the eastern (downhill) portion of our site which were installed before the Creek was diverted. Prior to beginning design development for this site, we requested a determination as to the setback requirements for this site in relation to Old Mission Creek. In May of 2006, Jan Hubble informed me by email that a 25' setback would be required from the designated “top of bank.” She also recommended speaking to a head plan-check official at the building department (Chris Short) to obtain information regarding how to determine “top of bank” in this unusual situation. A lengthily, time-taking and expensive process ensued. Without going into the ponderous details, two redesigns of the project (and many months of waiting) were required before the senior Planning staff and the top Building Department officials could agree on an acceptable “top of bank” location.

The final, accepted governing setback from the creek side of the project was that determined by a licensed geologist, Mr. Rick Hoffman, who also performed a Preliminary Geologic Investigation. Mr. Hoffman’s report confirmed that the existing retaining walls on the site were stable and that the erosion that occurred in this area was due to the activity of the former location of Mission Creek. This erosion potential is no longer operative. According to his study, the current and expected storm flows within this portion of Old Mission Creek are diminutive. In that report and in a subsequent letter reviewing the setback constraints (Appendix A), Mr. Hoffman recommended a 10’ “structural setback” from the top of the highest terrace on the east bank. He also notes in his letter that the “top of bank” as determined by the Municipal Code (28.87.50) is far less restrictive than the structural setback he is recommending. All of this is noted graphically on both our Site Plan (A1) and Site Section (A2).

Site Coverages

The total building footprint for the proposed development would cover 31% of the site, the driveway covers 28% of the lot, while the patios at the ground floor level covers only 3% of the lot. This leaves 36% of the lot (3,749 sq. ft.) to landscaping. The layout also secures approximately 15% of the site for open space. This is one and one-half times the minimum requirement. This is partly due to the fact that no construction at all will be occurring on the back 50’ of the lot. We have indicated the location of all the open space on the first floor plan on sheet A.2.

Fire Department Issues

A 10’ wide driveway apron is being provided although this could easily be widened to 16’ (along with the first 20’ of driveway) if the fire department requested it. The rear most building wall at the northwest corner of the proposed building is approximately 153’ from the curb at the street while the rear most building wall at the northeast corner is 164’ from the street. The driveway is a combination of asphalt paving and interlocking pavers. The pavers are used to artfully break the expanse of paving, to define a pedestrian path and to include some permeable paving surfaces as requested in the PRT comments. An existing fire hydrant (E09-003) is less than 50’ to the north on the same side of the street as our development. It has two outlets: one of 2.5” and one of 4” and has a flow rate of 1,463 gpm.
Grading and Drainage

A Grading and Drainage Plan as well as a preliminary Storm Water Study were completed as part of this submittal. As noted in the Storm Water Study, the back one-third of the lot currently slopes towards the creek. However, a modest amount of grading is being required to establish positive drainage away from the creek. The northerly side yard is proposed to contain a vegetated swale. In order to accomplish these features, grading quantities have been established. Outside the building footprint, approximately 85 cubic yards of cut and 10 cubic yards of fill are required. Under the building footprint, approximately 150 cubic yards of cut and 15 cubic yards of fill are needed for the slab-on-grade construction. The net grading yields 210 cubic yards of cut. Much of this soil is likely to be utilized as part of the removal and recompaction process recommended in the soils report. We therefore do not anticipate having to remove more than 50 cubic yards of soil from the site.

All the stormwater run-off being generated by the development is proposed to be collected by swales and catch basins (with pollution protection systems) and conveyed to a gravel-bottomed detention chamber under the front yard landscaped area. The chamber is sized to yield a net decrease in site stormwater run-off. When the chamber reaches overflow levels, a small sump-pump will then slowly deposit the stored water into the street. The gravel bottom also allows for sub-surface percolation and ground-water recharge.

A small amount of surface run-off is also being allowed to continue running down the existing terraces at the back portion of the lot. The potential erosion impact of this small amount of collected, filtered water is also being mitigated with a cobble swale.

Modifications

There is one modification associated with this proposal. This modification is to allow the garage area to extend 3'-0" into the 6' side yard setback on the north side. Please note that the actual footprint of the garage is 3'-6" from the property line but some portions of this garage wall have a 6" eave extension making the total maximum encroachment 3'-0". This is justifiable for several reasons. First, the R-2 zone by ordinance does allow a maximum 3' encroachment in the side-yard for garage purposes only. I have been told by City Staff that such a provision in the ordinance is planned for the R-3 zone as well. Secondly, because the lot is bordered by Bohnett Park, there will be no private landowner or dwellings impacted by the setback encroachment. In addition, the new Bohnett Park landscape plan includes many large trees that will provide a dense and natural form of landscape screening. The proposed Bohnett Park landscape plan also shows waist to head-high shrubbery along the first 18' to 25' adjacent to the northerly property line of our site. This shrubbery would preclude park users from getting within 20' of the proposed building without trampling the plants. Finally, the City of Santa Barbara Architectural Board of Review determined in a review of the entire project on August 7, 2006, that there was no adverse visual impact created by the modification. The board also determined that the mass, bulk and scale of the proposed design for our site was appropriate to the neighborhood and that the project would be a benefit and asset to the park. Finally, the board noted the fact that the major second floor interior areas as well as the second floor private outdoor living areas all faced the park. This will provide a kind of "neighborhood watch" for Bohnett Park, a park that historically has been the location of tagging and other illegal activities.
Archeological Report, Sound Study and Biologist's Letter Report

Marfarlane Archaeological Consultants completed a Phase 1 Archeological Survey. The Historic Landmarks Committee approved it on July 11, 2007. No indications of any artifacts of archeological significance were found, however, monitoring by a licensed archeologist is recommended during initial grading and ground disturbance.

A licensed acoustical consultant completed a Sound Level Assessment. The ambient noise levels at both the first and second floors of the proposed development were found to be well below the required city standard and therefore no noise mitigation is required.

Lawrence E. Hunt, Consulting Biologist, prepared recommendations for the restoration of those portions of the site that lie within the Creek habitat. We are including his letter report with this application. The landscape architect has also incorporated these recommendations into his plan. The landscape plan was given positive comments by the Architectural Board on 11/27/06.

Construction Scheduling and Staging

The construction schedule would follow the typical course. Initial site grading would require approximately three weeks for removal and recompaction. The remainder of the construction should be completed in less than one year. Once the initial site grading is complete, staging areas for construction materials, trucks and machinery can occur "on site." Other automobile parking for workers can occur on San Andres in available on-street parking. Fencing around the drip lines of the existing oaks where impacts are conceivable, whether on this parcel or nearby on the adjacent parcel, will be provided.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we believe that this proposal is well suited to its location and neighborhood. It not only makes the best and most efficient use of the site to add to the "entry level" housing stock in Santa Barbara, but does so in a way that respects the creek/park habitat while maximizing the open and landscaped areas. It is similar, but smaller in scale to other multi-family developments on the north side of Bohnett Park and to the south on San Andres. It will also provide an architectural enhancement to the neighborhood and visually appropriate back-drop to the park by contributing to a well-established "West-Side" vernacular. We appreciate your careful consideration of this proposal.

Kirk B. Gradin, Architect
Banyan Architects

Attachments:

Appendix A: Geologic Report and Supplemental Letter regarding "top of bank."
March 3, 2007

Banyon Architects
360 East Cañon Perdido Street, Suite D-1
Santa Barbara, California 93101

Atn: Mr. Kirk Gradin, Architect

RE: Review of Cross Section through property for Setback Constraint
Proposed Multi-family Residential (Condominium) Project
1236 San Andres Street
Santa Barbara, California
Assessor's Parcel Number 039-150-001

Dear Mr. Gradin:

At your request, I have reviewed the “Partial Site Section” you have provided to me that shows the profile of the subject property from the building envelope downslope to the creek corridor to the east and northeast. As you are aware, I recently completed a detailed PRELIMINARY GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATION report (dated January 23, 2007) that summarized the existing geologic conditions of the subject property and provides conclusions and recommendations regarding the proposed residential development. In summary, I have recommended that the proposed new multi-family buildings be setback a minimum of 10 feet from the top of slope as defined on a detailed topographic map of the property. The recommended structural setback is well within the guidelines used by the Uniform Building Code (Figure 1BA-1-1). Setback calculations using the UBC Guideline suggests a setback of approximately six feet. I have used a 10 foot structural setback to include consideration for potential small scale erosion of the bluff face. It is also important to understand that the process that created the steep slope in the first place (erosion caused by flood stage runoff within the ancestral Mission Creek corridor) no longer exists. Creek flow within Mission Creek has been re-routed to the concrete lined storm drain corridor on the east side of the 101 Freeway. Major bluff erosion by active flow within the new abandoned creek corridor is no longer occurring. Erosion processes in the area are therefore limited mainly to runoff over the edge of the bluff by surface water runoff. Control of surface water runoff by placement of drainage control devices including roof gutters, drop inlets, and surface and subsurface drainpipes should reduce this potential impact to very modest levels.

The City of Santa Barbara has requested a review of the bluff setback based on Municipal Code 28.87.250 related to Development Along Creeks. The “Legislative Intent” of this Code is to

a. to prevent undue damage or destruction of developments by flood water;

b. to prevent development on one parcel from causing undue detrimental impact on adjacent or downslope properties in the event of flood water;

c. to protect the public health, safety and welfare.
Creek setback limitations are established based on setback constraints from a line projected from the toe of bank upwards at an angle of 1.5 to 1 (horizontal to vertical). You have provided a graphic representation of the cross sectional profile of the property from the edge of the building envelope down slope to the creek corridor (Bohnert Park). You have also projected a "top of bank" intersection based on the 1.5 to 1 slope angle setback constraint per Municipal Code guideline onto the Partial Site Section diagram. Based on my review of this profile, it appears to me that the intent of the Code has been met. An even more conservative application of the Code to the hillside would allow for placement of the projected 1.5 to 1 slope "top of bank" setback constraint to the area located at the "base of the wall" (located at elevation point 65.0 feet). Creation of a projected 1.5 to 1 slope angle would still provide sufficient room for the proposed building to be behind the structural setback line.

I therefore conclude that the 10 foot structural setback from the top of bank constraint provided within my Preliminary Geologic Investigation Report dated January 23, 2007 meets the intent and guidelines of Santa Barbara Municipal Code 28.87.250 related to Development Along Creeks.

I trust this summary memorandum and discussion provides you with the planning information you requested. If you have any questions regarding this report or other geologic or hydrologic matters, please feel free to call upon me.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Mr. D.F. Rick Hoffman
Certified Engineering Geologist & Hydrogeologist
State of California
RG #3740  EG #1135  HG #448

cc: Mr. Ron Pike, President, Pacific Materials Laboratory
1. **1236 SAN ANDRES ST**
   
   **Assessor's Parcel Number:** 039-151-001  
   **Application Number:** MST2006-00364  
   **Owner:** Ruth E. Mudry, Trust 11/17/94  
   **Architect:** Kirk Gradin  
   **Owner:** Casas Del Parque, LLC  
   **Applicant:** Blakenship Construction

(Proposal to construct four two-story residential condominium units. Three of these would be approximately 1,000 square feet and one would be approximately 1,100 square feet. Each unit would have an attached two-car garage for a total of eight parking spaces on the 10,048 square foot parcel. The proposal includes demolition of all existing structures and there would be 140 yards of cut and fill grading outside the building footprints. The project will require Staff Hearing officer approval for a Tentative Subdivision Map.)

(COMMENTS ONLY; PROJECT Requires ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL OF A TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP.)

(4:12)


Public comment opened at 4:25 p.m.

Celeste Barber: neighborhood comprised of 20 and 30's construction; allow for greater setbacks.

Public comment closed at 4:31 p.m.

**Motion:** Continued indefinitely to the Planning Commission and return to the Full Board with the following comments:

1) The Board appreciates the diminutive height of 23 feet as shown, the juxtaposition and differentiation of the parapets and element heights, and the animation of the two long elevations.

2) A majority of the Board is concerned with the west elevation which appears too commercial. Soften the commercial feel for a more pedestrian friendly look. A majority of the Board prefers the previous scheme.

3) Most of the Board is concerned with the sine curve delineation on the bottom side of the cantilevered element. Look for a design technique that is more cohesive with the overall design style of those elevations and the building in general.

4) Study the cantilevers. Some Board members are concerned with the depth of the cantilevers.

5) Verify existing oak trees and drip lines on the adjacent properties.

**Action:** Zink/Aurell, 6/0/0. Motion carried. (Manson-Hing, Mosel absent.)

EXHIBIT D
(PROJECT REQUIRES NEIGHBORHOOD PRESERVATION ORDINANCE FINDINGS AND COMPLIANCE WITH PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 028-06)

(6:56)

Present: Mark and Jacquelyn Boyd, Owners.

Public comment opened at 7:24 p.m.

Jeff Libber, neighbor, expressed privacy concerns.

Public comment closed at 7:26 p.m.

Motion: Continued indefinitely to the Full Board with the following comments: 1) Applicant is to provide accurate, professional proposal drawings which also a) reflect the Planning Commission’s conditions of approval for adding charm giving elements, especially to the front elevation; b) accurately depict the roof slopes and intersection with the proposed second-story decks. 2) Reconsider the west facing second story deck to minimize privacy impacts to the west and north neighboring properties. 3) Provide clearer definition of recessed entry door element and proposed roof structure. 4) The Board is concerned with the means of support for the roof at the clipped corner adjacent to the garage. 5) Provide additional charm giving elements related to materials, window detailing and placement of windows, and proposed front yard landscaping.

Action: Mudge/Manson-Hing, 7/0/0. Blakeley absent.

CONCEPT REVIEW - NEW ITEM: PUBLIC HEARING

6. 1236 SAN ANDRES ST

Assessor’s Parcel Number: 039-151-001
Application Number: MST2006-00364
Owner: Ruth E. Mudry, Trust 11/17/94
Architect: Kirk Grdin
Owner: Casas Del Parque, LLC
Applicant: Blakenship Construction

R-3 Zone

(Proposal to construct four two-story residential condominium units. Three of these would be approximately 1,000 square feet and one would be approximately 1,100 square feet. Each unit would have an attached two-car garage for a total of eight parking spaces on the 10,048 square foot parcel. The proposal includes demolition of all existing structures and there would be 140 yards of cut and fill grading outside the building footprints. The project will require Staff Hearing Officer approval for a Tentative Subdivision Map.)

(COMMENTS ONLY; PROJECT REQUIRES ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND STAFF HEARING OFFICER APPROVAL FOR A TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP)

(7:29)

Present: Kirk Grdin, Architect.
Public comment opened at 7:40 p.m.

Paula Westbury, resident, opposed to the project. Chair Bartlett read into the record a letter from Celeste Barker expressing opposition.

Public comment closed at 7:48 p.m.

Motion: Continued indefinitely to Staff Hearing Officer with the following comments: 1) The architecture is charming, and the project will be a benefit to the nearby park with second story windows overlooking the park. 2) The project is small in scale and fits well with the residential character of the block. 3) The Board finds no visual impact to the requested garage interior yard Modification. 4) Provide photo documentation of adjacent buildings on that side of the block.

Action: Mudge/LeCron, 4/0/3. Manson-Hing/Sherry/Mosel opposed, Blakeley absent.

CONCEPT REVIEW - NEW ITEM: PUBLIC HEARING

7. 308 N ALISOS ST

Assessor's Parcel Number: 031-372-024
Application Number: MST2006-00350
Owner: Arturo V. and Denise L. Herrera
Applicant: Robert Stamps

(Proposal to convert an existing duplex to a single-family residence and construct a second residential unit at the rear of the 8,731 square foot lot. Conversion of the 1,477 square foot two-story duplex to a single family residence would include the addition of an attached 283 square foot one-car garage. The 1,619 square foot two-story rear unit would have an attached 402 square foot two-car garage. The proposal includes demolition of the existing 424 square foot converted carport and storage area, and demolition of the existing 540 square foot two-story accessory structure.)

(COMMENTS ONLY: PROJECT REQUIRES ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT)

(8:07)

Present: Arturo Herrera, Owner; Robert Stamps, Applicant.

Motion: Continued 3 weeks to Full Board with the following comments: 1) The Board is comfortable with the architecture for the rear Unit B. 2) The roof over the second story addition of Unit A is to be a shed roof. 3) Applicant is to restudy the site plan for: a) better integration of parking, b) minimizing hardscape, c) maximizing landscaping.

Action: LeCron/Sherry, 7/0/0. Blakeley absent.
March 3, 2007

Banyon Architects
200 East Cañon Perdido Street, Suite D-1
Santa Barbara, California 93101

Attn: Mr. Kirk Gradin, Architect

RE: Review of Cross Section through property for Setback Constraint
Proposed Multi-family Residential (Condominium) Project
1236 San Andres Street
Santa Barbara, California
Assessor’s Parcel Number 039-150-001

Dear Mr. Gradin:

At your request, I have reviewed the “Partial Site Section” you have provided to me that shows the profile of the subject property from the building envelope downslope to the creek corridor to the east and northeast. As you are aware, I recently completed a detailed PRELIMINARY GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATION report (dated January 23, 2007) that summarized the existing geologic conditions of the subject property and provided conclusions and recommendations regarding the proposed residential development. In summary, I have recommended that the proposed new multi-family buildings be setback a minimum of 10 feet from the top of slope as defined on a detailed topographic map of the property. The recommended structural setback is well within the guidelines used by the Uniform Building Code (Figure 18A-1-1). Setback calculations using the UBC Guideline suggest a setback of approximately six feet. I have used a 10 foot structural setback to include consideration for potential small scale erosion of the bluff face. It is also important to understand that the process that created the steep slope in the first place (erosion caused by flood stage runoff within the ancestral Mission Creek corridor) no longer exists. Creek flow within Mission Creek has been re-routed to the concrete lined storm drain corridor on the east side of the 101 Freeway. Major bluff erosion by active flow within the new abandoned creek corridor is no longer occurring. Erosion processes in the area are therefore limited mainly to runoff over the edge of the bluff by surface water runoff. Control of surface water runoff by placement of drainage control devices including roof gutters, drop inlets, and surface and subsurface drainpipes should reduce this potential impact to very modest levels.

The City of Santa Barbara has requested a review of the bluff setback based on Municipal Code 28.87.250 related to Development Along Creeks. The “Legislative Intent” of this Code is to

a. to prevent undue damage or destruction of developments by flood water;
b. to prevent development on one parcel from causing undue detrimental impact on adjacent or downstream properties in the event of flood water;
c. to protect the public health, safety and welfare.
Creek setback limitations are established based on setback constraints from a line projected from the toe of bank upwards at an angle of 1.5 to 1 (horizontal to vertical). You have provided a graphic representation of the cross sectional profile of the property from the edge of the building envelope down slope to the creek corridor (Bohnell Park). You have also projected a "top of bank" intersection based on the 1.5 to 1 slope angle setback constraint per Municipal Code guideline onto the Partial Site Section diagram. Based on my review of this profile, it appears to me that the intent of the Code has been met.

An even more conservative application of the Code to the hillside would allow for placement of the projected 1.5 to 1 slope "top of bank" setback constraint to the area located at the "base of the wall" (located at elevation point 85.0 feet). Creation of a projected 1.5 to 1 slope angle would still provide sufficient room for the proposed building to be behind the structural setback line.

I therefore conclude that the 10 foot structural setback from the top of bank constraint provided within my Preliminary Geologic Investigation Report dated January 23, 2007 meets the intent and guidelines of Santa Barbara Municipal Code 28.87.250 related to Development Along Creeks.

I trust this summary memorandum and discussion provides you with the planning information you requested. If you have any questions regarding this report or other geologic or hydrologic matters, please feel free to call upon me.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Mr. D.F. Rick Hoffman
Certified Engineering Geologist & Hydrogeologist
State of California
RG #5740 EG #1135 HG #448

enclosures

cc: Mr. Ron Pike, President, Pacific Materials Laboratory